

## **Report of Investigation**

## Reference Materials 8562-8564

8562: CO<sub>2</sub>-Heavy, Paleomarine Origin (carbon dioxide) 8563: CO<sub>2</sub>-Light, Petrochemical Origin (carbon dioxide)

8564: CO<sub>2</sub>-Biogenic, Modern Biomass Origin (carbon dioxide)

These Reference Materials (RMs) are intended to provide carbon dioxide samples of known isotopic composition and uncertainty with  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  and  $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$  ratios expressed in parts per thousand difference (‰) from Vienna Peedee belemnite (VPDB) or Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) [1]. RMs 8562-8564 are not certified, but their use allows comparability of stable carbon and oxygen isotope ratio data obtained by investigators in different laboratories. Assigned values, based upon intercomparison results and high accuracy measurements at NIST, may be used to normalize laboratory standards. A RM unit consists of two borosilicate glass tubes, each 9 mm in diameter and about 30 cm in length. Each tube contains approximately 400 µmol of gas and is labeled with a unique number that, if necessary, can be used to identify preparation variables.

RM Preparation: RMs 8562, 8563, and 8564 were prepared by R.M. Verkouteren, Surface and Microanalysis Science Division, Chemical Science and Technology Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). Details of the preparation method are published elsewhere [2]. RM 8562 was prepared in 1995 from carbon dioxide provided by T.B. Coplen, U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). This gas originated from natural thermal decomposition of a Jurassic limestone deposit in the Southeastern United States, and was sampled through a well in 1982 [3]. RM 8563 was prepared in 1996 from SFE-grade carbon dioxide (99.999 %) obtained from Scott Specialty Gases, Plumsteadsville, PA. This gas originated from combustion of byproducts from a petrochemical refinery in the Eastern United States. RM 8564 was prepared in 1996 from research grade carbon dioxide (99.995 %) obtained from Scott Specialty Gases, Plumsteadville, PA. This gas originated from the fermentation of C<sub>4</sub> biomass (corn) in a grain distillery in the Central United States.

**Storage and Use:** Until use, it is recommended that these RMs be stored in their original container at ambient temperature (20 °C to 30 °C). Before use, the user's inlet system should be conditioned with carbon dioxide of isotopic composition similar to the RM. To open, the RM requires the proper use of a suitable tube cracker [4-7], and after opening should be used immediately for calibration or standardization. If desired, a sample can be stored in a clean glass breakseal or container fabricated with an all-glass stopcock coated with a hydrocarbon-based grease, or other vessel known to preserve the isotopic integrity of carbon dioxide [8].

**Note:** Because very limited quantities of these materials exist, distribution is limited to one unit (two tubes) of each RM per three-year period of time. Users are strongly advised to prepare their own internal standards for routine quality control and to normalize or compare those standards with these RMs.

The supporting aspects concerning distribution of these RMs were coordinated through the Standard Reference Materials Program by N.M. Trahey.

Gaithersburg, MD 20899 Thomas E. Gills, Chief Certificate Issue Date: 20 October 1998 Standard Reference Materials Program

RMs 8562-8564 Page 1 of 4

**Isotope Compositional Values:** Assigned  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{18}$ O values and uncertainties for the RMs were determined by two complementary methods: 1) through accurate measurements of delta values *between* RMs ( $\Delta\delta^{45}$ CO<sub>2</sub>,  $\Delta\delta^{46}$ CO<sub>2</sub>, and  $\Delta\delta^{47}$ CO<sub>2</sub>), and 2) through an international comparison exercise.

 $Dct^5CO_2$ ,  $Dct^6CO_2$ , and  $Dct^7CO_2$  Values: These measurements were performed at NIST to determine accurate compositional differences between RMs. In this method, instrumental design and protocols were used where the *measured*  $\delta^{47}CO_2$  value was statistically equal to the *expected*  $\delta^{47}CO_2$  value (as calculated from  $\delta^{45}CO_2$  and  $\delta^{46}CO_2$ ). This condition was achieved only when all three measurements were accurate, which on the NIST instrument required special ion source modifications and unusual measurement protocols [2]. While the resulting measurements defined precisely the relative compositions among the RMs, standardization onto the VPDB scale required the consensus process described below.

**Intercomparison:** Each of thirteen participating laboratories was requested to measure replicate RMs, apply a conventional reduction algorithm to the  $\delta^{45}CO_2$  and  $\delta^{46}CO_2$  measurement data, and standardize the  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values onto the VPDB scale through NBS19-CO<sub>2</sub> [1,9,10]. Most the participating laboratories also reported  $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$  values for CO<sub>2</sub> derived from other standard materials, including LSVEC, NBS18, IAEA-CO-9, NBS22, VSMOW, and SLAP. By normalizing the RM values to common standard compositions [1,11], improvements were realized in reproducibility. Results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2 as ranges of reported and normalized  $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$  values. The ranges in values are due to interlaboratory variations in VPDB realization and instrument calibration; the median standard deviation of replicate analyses of any RM was about 0.02 ‰ for  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{18}O$ .

Value Assignment: With the relative differences between the three RMs characterized accurately, realization of VPDB scale was made through the intercomparison results by using the RMs having the most precise normalized values for  $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB}$  and  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$ . These were RM 8562 ( $\delta^{13}C_{VPDB} = -3.76 \% \pm 0.03 \%$ ) and RM 8564 ( $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB} = +0.19 \% \pm 0.10 \%$ ). Through these assignments, the values of the remaining delta values were thereby fixed with acceptable precision; these are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The combined standard uncertainty ( $u_c$ ) of each assignment includes the uncertainty (standard deviation) in the defining RM, the standard deviation of the  $\Delta\delta^{45}CO_2$  and  $\Delta\delta^{46}CO_2$  measurements, and the known sample-to-sample isotopic variation (described in the following section) combined in quadrature. In all cases, these value assignments were within the range of results reported in the intercomparison.

Table 1.	Values for	$\delta_{13}$	VPDB	(in	<b>‰</b> )

Reference Material	Range of Reported Values	Range of Normalized Values	Value Assigned and Uncertainty ( <i>u</i> <sub>c</sub> )
RM 8562 (CO <sub>2</sub> -Heavy)	-3.81 to -3.60	-3.80 to -3.72	-3.76 (0.03)
RM 8563 (CO <sub>2</sub> -Light)	-41.67 to -40.96	-41.79 to -41.26	-41.56 (0.06)
RM 8564 (CO <sub>2</sub> -Biogenic)	-10.54 to -10.27	-10.54 to -10.36	-10.45 (0.04)

Table 2. Values for  $\delta^{18}$ O<sub>VPDB</sub> (in ‰)

Reference Material	Range of Reported	Range of Normalized	Value Assigned and
	Values	Values	Uncertainty $(u_c)$
RM 8562 (CO <sub>2</sub> -Heavy)	-8.77 to -7.98	-8.57 to -8.25	-8.45 (0.11)
RM 8563 (CO <sub>2</sub> -Light)	-24.08 to -22.97	-23.86 to -23.43	-23.72 (0.11)
RM 8564 (CO <sub>2</sub> -Biogenic)	-0.23 to +0.48	+0.07 to +0.39	+0.19 (0.10)

The  $\delta^{18}O_{VPDB}$  values may also be expressed versus VSMOW or VPDB-CO<sub>2</sub> through the following conversion formulae [1]:

$$\begin{split} \delta^{18}O_{VSMOW} &= (1.0309 \times \delta^{18}O_{VPDB}) + 30.9 \\ \delta^{18}O_{VPDB-CO_2} &= (\delta^{18}O_{VPDB} - 10.25) \: / \: 1.01025 \end{split}$$

RMs 8562-8564 Page 2 of 4

**Isotopic Unformity:** After production, the RM tubes were heated to 70 °C for 24 h to accelerate potential isotopic exchange of carbon dioxide with trace water vapor and the silicate interior of the tubes, then were allowed to incubate for at least one month before each RM batch was characterized (see Table 3). Determination of the isotopic heterogeneity of each RM batch was facilitated through a uniform sampling design where samples were selected for measurement (through their unique identifier) to explore the effects of inherent nuisance factors documented during RM production – fortunately, no factors were significant. Measurements on RM 8562 and RM 8563 were performed at NIST using very high precision methods [2], while measurements on RM 8564 were performed at USGS using typical methods. No datum was excluded as a statistical outlier. Observed isotopic variability was apportioned between instrumental effects and true sample-to-sample heterogeneity.

Reference Material	Estimated RM variation in δ <sup>13</sup> C	Estimated RM variation in δ <sup>18</sup> O		
	(sample to sample heterogeneity, $\pm U$ )*	(sample-to-sample heterogeneity, $\pm U$ )*		
RM $8562 (n = 44)$	$0.0073 \pm 0.0029$	$0.0253 \pm 0.0070$		
RM $8563 (n = 50)$	$0.0039 \pm 0.0039$	$0.0134 \pm 0.0037$		

0.0183

 $\pm 0.0116$ 

Table 3. Isotopic Variations in CO<sub>2</sub> Reference Material Populations (in ‰)

 $0.0044 \pm 0.0085$ 

RM 8564 (n = 43)

**Stability:** RM isotopic stability was determined after one to two years of incubation by measuring twelve samples of each RM. No additional variance was observed in these sample sets as compared to the original sets used to assess isotopic heterogeneity. Differences in compositional means were significant (at the 95 % confidence level) in three cases, but these differences were small and probably due to long-term instrumental effects. The isotopic stability of these RMs will continue to be monitored until one year after stocks are exhausted. For more information, access the Website at http://acg.nist.gov/outputs/CO2.html.

Normalization Procedure: These RMs may be used to determine normalized  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  values of laboratory standards (LS) through the equation below [1,13], where  $\delta^m$  values are measured or assigned  $\delta^{13}C$  (m = 13) or  $\delta^{18}O$  (m = 18) values for two RMs (RM1 and RM2) that bracket the composition of the laboratory standard. Upon establishing the normalized composition of at least two laboratory standards, these in turn may be used to determine routinely the normalized compositions of samples. When reporting normalized delta values of samples, the assigned compositional values of the RMs should also be reported.

$$\delta \stackrel{m}{LS.normalized} = \delta \stackrel{m}{RM1.assigned} + \left[ \frac{\delta \stackrel{m}{LS.measured} - \delta \stackrel{m}{RM1.measured}}{\delta \stackrel{m}{RM2.measured} - \delta \stackrel{m}{RM1.measured}} \right] \times \left[ \delta \stackrel{m}{RM2.assigned} - \delta \stackrel{m}{RM1.assigned} \right]$$

**Absolute Ratios:** The absolute ratios of  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  and  $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ , reported in relevant primary standard materials, are summarized below. The precisions of the values reported are not justified by their uncertainties, but rather by the requirement of consistency of their "accepted values" used in data reduction algorithms for high precision intercomparibility of standardized  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  values. The expanded uncertainty is defined as  $U = k \times u_c$ , where  $u_c$  is the combined standard uncertainty and k is a coverage factor of 2 [12].

Table 4. Absolute <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>18</sup>O Abundances in Primary Standard Materials

Primary Standard Material	$^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C} \pm U$	$^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \pm U$	References
PDB-Chicago	$(11\ 237.2\pm60)\times10^{-6}$	N/A	14
RM 8544 (NBS19-limestone)	$(11\ 201.5 \pm 28) \times 10^{-6}$	N/A	15
RM 8535 (VSMOW)	N/A	$(2005.20 \pm 0.45) \times 10^{-6}$	16

RMs 8562-8564 Page 3 of 4

<sup>\*</sup>Expanded uncertainties, U, are symmetric (and conservative) 95 % confidence levels [12].

## REFERENCES

- [1] Hut, G., Consultants' Group Meeting on Stable Isotope Reference Samples for Geochemical and Hydrological Investigations; IAEA, Vienna, Austria: 16-18 September, 1985, (published 1987).
- [2] Verkouteren, R.M., Lagergren, E.S., and Velapoldi, R.A., *Report of Analysis* (NIST internal report), 837-6-98, June 10, 1998; National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD: see also: "Preparation, Characterization and Value Assignment of Carbon Dioxide Isotopic Reference Materials: RMs 8562-8564," (manuscript in preparation).
- [3] Studlick, J.R.J., Shew, R.D., Basye, G.L., and Ray, J.R., "A Giant Carbon Dioxide Accumulation in the Norphlet Formation, Pisgah Anticline, Mississippi," in <u>Sandstone Petroleum Reservoirs</u>, Eds. J.H. Barwis, J.G. McPherson, J.R. Studlick; Springer-Verlag, NY; pp. 181-203, (1990).
- [4] DesMarais, D.J. and Hayes, J.M., "Tube Cracker for Opening Glass-Sealed Ampoules Under Vacuum," Anal. Chem. 48, pp. 1651-1652, (1976).
- [5] Coleman, D.D., "Tube Cracker for Opening Samples Sealed in Glass Tubing," Anal. Chem. 53, pp. 1962-1963, (1981).
- [6] Caldwell, W.E., Odom, J.D., and Williams, D.F., "Glass-sample-Tube Breaker," Anal. Chem. **55**, pp. 1175-1176, (1983).
- [7] Kennedy, H. and Kennedy, D.P., "Simplified Tube Cracker for Opening Samples Sealed in Glass Tubes While Under Vacuum," Analyt. Proc. Analyt. Comm. **31**, pp. 299-300, (1994).
- [8] Revesz, K. and Coplen, T.B., "Caution on the Use of Viton or FETFE O-rings in Carbon Dioxide Sample Containers for  $\delta^{18}$ O Analysis," Chem. Geol. (Isotope Geosci. Sect.) **86**, pp. 259-261, (1991).
- [9] Gonfiantini, R., "The δ-notation and the Mass-Spectrometric Techniques," Stable Isotope Hydrology: Deuterium and Oxygen-18 in the Water Cycle, eds. J.R. Gat and R. Gonfiantini, IAEA-TECDOC-210, IAEA, Vienna, pp. 35-84, (1981).
- [10] Allison, C.E., Francey, R.J., and Meijer, H.A.J., "Recommendations for the Reporting of Stable Isotope Measurements of Carbon and Oxygen in CO<sub>2</sub> Gas," *Reference and Intercomparison Materials for Stable Isotopes of Light Elements*, IAEA-TECDOC-825, pp. 155-162, (1995).
- [11] Stichler, W., "Interlaboratory Comparison of New Materials for Carbon and Oxygen Isotope Ratio measurements," Reference and Intercomparison Materials for Stable Isotopes of Light Elements, IAEA-TEDOC-825 pp. 67-74, (1995).
- [12] Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement, ISBN 92-67-10188-9, lst Ed. ISO, Geneva, Switzerland, (1993): see also Taylor, B.N. and Kuyatt, C.E., "Guidelines for Evaluating and Expressing the Uncertainty of NIST Measurement Results," NIST Technical Note 1297, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington DC, (1994).
- [13] Coplen, T.B., "Normalization of Oxygen and Hydrogen Isotope Data," Chem. Geol. (Isotope Geosci. Sect.) **72**, pp. 293-297, (1988).
- [14] Craig, H., "Isotopic Standards for Carbon and Oxygen and Correction Factors for Mass-Spectrometric Analysis of Carbon Dioxide," Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 12, pp. 133-149, (1957).
- [15] Chang, T.L. and Li, W.J., "A Calibrated Measurement of the Atomic Weight of Carbon," Chinese Sci. Bull. **35**, pp. 290-296, (1990).
- [16] Baertschi, P., "Absolute <sup>18</sup>O Content of Standard Mean Ocean Water," Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. **31**, pp. 341-344, (1976).

Users of this RM should ensure that the report of investigation in their possession is current. This can be accomplished by contacting the SRM Program at: Telephone (301) 975-6776 (select "Certificates"), Fax (301) 926-4751, e-mail srminfo@nist.gov, or via the Internet http://ts.nist.gov/srm.

RMs 8562-8564 Page 4 of 4